

(Stipulating Parties on Signature Page)

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

**In re: CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT)  
ANTITRUST LITIGATION**

**Case No. 07-5944 SC**

**MDL No. 1917**

This Document Relates to:

*All Indirect Purchaser Actions*

**STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]  
ORDER REGARDING BRIEFING FOR  
MOTIONS IN LIMINE FILED BY THE  
INDIRECT PURCHASER PLAINTIFFS,  
THE TOSHIBA DEFENDANTS AND THE  
SAMSUNG SDI DEFENDANTS**

WHEREAS, pursuant to the Stipulation and Order Regarding Scheduling (Doc. No. 3182), the Indirect Purchaser Plaintiffs (“IPPs”) filed nineteen motions in limine (“IPP motions in limine”)<sup>1</sup> on February 13, 2015;

WHEREAS, on February 13, 2015, the Toshiba Defendants filed four separate motions in limine (“Toshiba separate motions in limine”)<sup>2</sup> and twelve motions in limine jointly with the other defendants (“Toshiba joint motions in limine”)<sup>3</sup> that pertain to the IPPs;

WHEREAS, on February 13, 2015, the Samsung SDI Defendants filed two separate

<sup>1</sup> See Doc. Nos. 3537, 3538, 3539, 3540, 3541, 3542, 3543, 3544, 3545, 3546, 3547, 3548, 3549, 3550, 3551, 3552, 3553, 3554 and 3555.

<sup>2</sup> See Doc Nos. 3573, 3577, 3588 and 3576.

<sup>3</sup> See Doc Nos. 3556, 3557, 3559, 3563-3, 3568, 3571, 3572, 3579, 3581, 3583, 3589, and 3592.

1 motions in limine<sup>4</sup> (“Samsung separate motions limine”) and twelve motions in limine jointly  
2 with the other defendants<sup>5</sup> (“Samsung joint motions in limine”) that pertain to the IPPs;

3 WHEREAS, IPPs’ MIL Nos. 3 (Doc. No. 3539) and 11 (Doc. No. 3547), and Defendants’  
4 Joint MIL No. 14 (Doc. No. 3581), were resolved by stipulation dated February 27, 2015 (Doc.  
5 No. 3639);

6 WHEREAS, SDI’s MIL No. 2 (Doc. No. 3565) was not opposed by IPPs;

7 WHEREAS, pursuant to the Stipulation and Order Regarding Deadline for Briefing and  
8 Hearing Date for Motions in Limine (Doc. No. 3605) (the “MIL Order”), all parties filed  
9 responses to the motions pertaining to them on February 27, 2015;<sup>6</sup>

10 WHEREAS, pursuant to the MIL Order, replies in support of the motions in limine are due  
11 on March 6, 2015;

12 WHEREAS, the Toshiba Defendants also filed a Motion to Strike Class Representatives  
13 with Inadequate Proof of Their Individual Purchases of Televisions or Monitors (Doc. No. 3595)  
14 (“Motion to Strike”);

15 WHEREAS, pursuant to the Stipulation and Order Regarding Briefing for the Toshiba  
16 Defendants’ Motion to Decertify the Statewide IPP Classes for Damages and Motion to Strike  
17 Class Representatives with Inadequate Proof of their Individual Purchases of Televisions or  
18 Monitors (Doc. No. 3630), the IPPs’ opposition to the Motion to Strike is due on March 6, 2015  
19 and the Toshiba Defendants’ reply is due on March 17, 2015;

20 WHEREAS, counsel for the IPPs, the Toshiba Defendants and the Samsung SDI  
21 Defendants have met and conferred and agree that an extension of the briefing schedule on these  
22 motions is appropriate and will aid in the efficient resolution of the litigation;

23 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between counsel for the IPPs, the

24 \_\_\_\_\_  
<sup>4</sup> See Doc. Nos. 3560-61, 3565.

25 <sup>5</sup> See fn. 3, *supra*.

26 <sup>6</sup> The IPPs and the Toshiba Defendants entered into a separate stipulation extending the briefing  
27 schedule for Defendants’ MIL #2 and DAP MIL #11, which became an order of the Court on  
28 February 25, 2015 (Doc No. 3631). Defendants’ MIL #2 and DAP MIL #11 are not the subject of  
this Stipulation and Proposed Order.

1 Toshiba Defendants and the Samsung SDI Defendants as follows:

2 1. The replies in support of the IPPs' motions in limine, the Toshiba and SDI separate  
3 motions in limine, and the Toshiba and SDI joint motions in limine (as they pertain to the IPPs)  
4 may be filed no later than March 16, 2015;

5 2. IPPs' opposition to the Toshiba Defendants' Motion to Strike must be filed no later  
6 than March 16, 2015;

7 3. The Toshiba Defendants' reply in support of their Motion to Strike may be filed no  
8 later than March 27, 2015.

9 The undersigned parties jointly and respectfully request that the Court enter this  
10 stipulation as an order.

11  
12 PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

13  
14 Dated: 3/6/15



Hon. Samuel Conti  
United States District Judge

15  
16  
17  
18  
19 DATED: March 4, 2015

By: /s/

Mario N. Alioto (56433)

Lauren C. Capurro (241151)

TRUMP, ALIOTO, TRUMP & PRESCOTT LLP

2280 Union Street

San Francisco, CA 94123

Telephone: (415) 563-7200

Facsimile: (415) 346-0679

malioto@tatp.com

lauren russell@tatp.com

*Lead Counsel for Indirect Purchaser Plaintiffs*

1 DATED: March 4, 2015

**WHITE & CASE LLP**

2 By: /s/  
Christopher M. Curran (*pro hac vice*)  
[ccurran@whitecase.com](mailto:ccurran@whitecase.com)  
3 Lucius B. Lau (*pro hac vice*)  
[alau@whitecase.com](mailto:alau@whitecase.com)  
4 Dana E. Foster (*pro hac vice*)  
[defoster@whitecase.com](mailto:defoster@whitecase.com)  
5 701 Thirteenth Street, N.W.  
Washington, DC 20005  
6 tel.: (202) 626-3600  
7 fax: (202) 639-9355

8 *Counsel to Defendants Toshiba Corporation,*  
9 *Toshiba America, Inc., Toshiba America Information*  
10 *Systems, Inc., Toshiba America Consumer Products,*  
11 *L.L.C., and Toshiba America Electronic*  
*Components, Inc.*

12 DATED: March 4, 2015

**SHEPPARD MULLIN RICHTER & HAMPTON**

13 By: /s/  
GARY L. HALLING (SBN 66087)  
14 E-mail: [ghalling@sheppardmullin.com](mailto:ghalling@sheppardmullin.com)  
JAMES L. MCGINNIS (SBN 95788)  
15 E-mail: [jmcginnis@sheppardmullin.com](mailto:jmcginnis@sheppardmullin.com)  
MICHAEL W. SCARBOROUGH (SBN 203524)  
16 E-mail: [mscarborough@sheppardmullin.com](mailto:mscarborough@sheppardmullin.com)  
17 Four Embarcadero Center, 17th Floor  
San Francisco, CA 94111  
18 Telephone: (415) 434-9100  
19 Facsimile: (415) 434-3947

20 *Counsel for Defendants Samsung SDI Co., Ltd.,*  
21 *Samsung SDI America, Inc., Samsung SDI*  
22 *(Malaysia) SDN. BHD., Samsung SDI Mexico S.A.*  
23 *de C.V., Samsung SDI Brasil Ltda., Shenzhen*  
*Samsung SDI Co., Ltd., and Tianjin Samsung SDI*  
*Co., Ltd.*

24 Pursuant to Local Rule 5-1(i), the filer attests that the concurrence in the filing of this  
25 document has been obtained from each of the above signatories.  
26  
27  
28